UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,953	02/09/2006	Carl Conrad Maeder	P57367	8416
Robert E Bushn	7590 10/09/200 nell	8	EXAM	IINER
1522 K Street, N W			HESS, DOUGLAS A	
Suite 300 Washington, DC 20005-1202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/522,953	MAEDER ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Douglas A. Hess	3651				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Douglas A. Hess</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>George Stevens</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>07 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Independent claims 1 and 25</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>USP 6,690,996</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Both parties agreed that proposed claim amendments (submitted on October 6 th), overcome the prior art of record in the case, however, it should be noted that further consideration and search must be performed by the examiner, since the proposed amendment would be an After Final Amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Douglas A Hess/						